Table 7.10 Place of acquisition by last year users, by age 12 to 17, and 18 and older, 2001, lowest address density municipalities (unweighted n)

Aged 12 to 17	Relatives, friends, acquaintance unw.n	Coffee- shop unw.n	Smart- shop unw.n	Home- dealer unw.n	Other place of enter- tainment unw.n	Cafel pub unw.n	Home- grown unw.n	Delivery service unw.n	From a stranger on street unw.n	Community centre, youth club, association unw.n	Other unw.n	Total answers* unw.n	Rep. last year unw.n
Cannabis	26	10	0	2	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	42	28
Cocaine	1	0	0	0	1	0		0	0	0	0	2	1
Amphetamines	2	0	0	0	1	0		0	0	0	1	4	3
Ecstasy	3	0	0	0	1	0		0	0	0	0	4	3
Hallucinogens	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
Mushrooms	1	1	0	0	1	0		0	0	0	0	3	2
Smart drugs	1	1	1	0	0	0		0	0	0	1	4	3
Aged 18 and older													
Cannabis	24	29	1	2	1	1	3	0	0	0	0	61	43
Cocaine	5	1	0	3	1	0		1	1	0	0	12	8
Amphetamines	5	0	0	1	1	0		1	0	0	0	8	5
Ecstasy	8	0	0	3	1	0		1	0	0	0	13	9
Hallucinogens	1	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	1	2	2
Mushrooms	3	0	2	0	0	0		1	0	0	0	6	5
Smart drugs	3	1	3	0	0	0		1	0	0	5	13	8

^{*}More than one answer was possible.

Source: Manja D. Abraham, Hendrien L. Kaal, & Peter D.A. Cohen (2002), *Licit and Illicit Drug Use in the Netherlands, 2001*. Amsterdam: CEDRO / Mets & Schilt. p. 239.